

THE CARBURIZATION OF STEELS OF  
"KRUPP" ANALYSIS  
—•—•—•—  
WALTER JONES WHIPPLE

U. S. Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, California









CARNEGIE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

THESIS

THE CARBURIZATION OF STEELS OF "KRUPP" ANALYSIS

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for  
the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN METALLURGY

Walter Jones Whipple

Department of Metallurgy

Approved May 14, 1936.

Vsevelod N. Krivobok

Faculty Advisor.

Approved by the Committee on Graduate Instruction.

Charles Watkins.

Ph. D.





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page.
Synopsis . . . . .	1
Introduction . . . . .	2
The Process of Carburization . . . . .	3
Temperature and Its Effect on Rate of Carburization. . . . .	4
Carburizing Medium and Its Effect on Rate of Carburization . . . .	4
Constitution and Structure of the Steel and its Effect on Rate of Carburization . . . . .	6
Properties of the Material Under Test . . . . .	9
Experimental Procedure . . . . .	10
Results . . . . .	13
Effect of Initial Structure of Steel on Rate of Carburization .	13
Effect of Varying Alloy Content of Steel on Rate of Carburization . . . . .	14
Discussion . . . . .	17
Acknowledgement . . . . .	19
Photomicrographs . . . . .	20
Curves . . . . .	30
Tables . . . . .	33
Bibliography . . . . .	36



### SYNOPSIS

Samples of four lots of steel containing between 1.75 and 3.79% nickel and between 0.73 and 1.72% chromium were carburized to obtain information on the factors affecting rates and depths of carbon penetration. A review of previous work on carburization and diffusion of carbon is presented, and the relative importance of factors affecting rate of carburization is discussed. It was found that initial grain size had a marked effect on rate of carburization of a steel, and that the variation in alloy content among the different lots of steel had a slight effect on respective rates of carburization.

STUDY

Analysis of four days of study containing between 2 to 10

of subjects and between 1.5 and 2.5 hours of study

with reference to the study activity and the amount of out-

put. A series of studies with an organization of the

study of study activity, and the study activity of study

activity is also presented in the study. It was found that the

study activity was not a simple effect of the study of study

activity, and that the study activity is also a function of the study

activity of study and a simple effect of the study of study

study.



## INTRODUCTION

Few processes have received the attention of metallurgists over a longer period of time than that of carburization of iron and steel. The many variables which affect the process, as well as the differing objectives which have determined the procedures of the respective investigators, have prevented a complete understanding of the laws governing rates and depths of carburization.

It would be out of the question to do justice to, or even to tabulate, in a single paper, the many contributions to our knowledge of this most interesting subject. The review of the subject which precedes the description of the experimental work, therefore, will be restricted to an analysis of factors affecting rates and depths of carburization. It seems well worth while to direct attention to the importance of an understanding of the laws governing rates of diffusion in solid metals for this purpose, as well as to the factors peculiar to reactions in the gaseous medium, which force us to modify our concept of diffusion as a measure of rate of carburization.



## THE PROCESS OF CARBURIZATION

The surface of a steel specimen is carburized by heating in the presence of a carburizing agent. The essential conditions for carburizing are: that the steel be heated to the austenitic range; that the initial carbon content of the steel be below the limit of solubility at the carburizing temperature; that the carburizing agent react with the iron in such a manner as to give up carbon to the latter.

In carburizing, then, there is a gaseous system which supplies a compound capable of carburizing steel. (The discussion of carburizing with liquids is omitted). There is also a solid system in which the carbon atoms /29/ (or the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{C}$  molecules /21/) diffuse away from the surface, because of the concentration gradient of carbon. At the boundary between the two systems, which may be referred to as the interface, the carburizing gas gives up carbon to the iron, as has been stated.

## EQUILIBRIUM

Strictly speaking, equilibrium does not occur in the carburizing process, and every step should be analyzed in terms of rates of reaction. The gaseous system at a distance from the interface is practically in equilibrium, and if carbon is available, as in pack-carburizing,  $\text{CO}_2$  will be reduced to CO until the pressures of these two gases are practically at equilibrium for the temperature existing. The solid system is likewise practically at equilibrium at a distance from the interface.







### TEMPERATURE AND ITS EFFECT ON RATE OF CARBURIZATION

The carburizing temperature affects the rate of carburization in various ways.

A high temperature increases rate of diffusion in the solid metal by its effect on the diffusion coefficient (equation 4); and affects the rate of reaction of carbon and iron at the interface. A high temperature also increases the concentration of carburizing gas in such processes as that of pack-carburizing.

The carbon content of the metal at the interface is less than the limiting solubility of the carbon in the metal, which depends on the temperature, as reference to the equilibrium diagram of the iron-carbon system will show. There is evidence /6/ that this solubility limit is approached quite closely in practice, but is in general not reached, by the metal at the interface.

From these considerations it appears that the relation between temperature and rate of carburization is a complex one, and data on the relation of these two variables appearing in the literature /6//26/, are most useful for predicting results of carburization. This type of information is less useful for analysis of variations in rates of carburization, especially in the case of pack-carburizing, because of the difficulty of making accurate corrections for temperature variations.

### CARBURIZING MEDIUM AND ITS EFFECT ON RATE OF CARBURIZATION.

Soudremont /6/ reports that a powdered mixture of charcoal 60% by weight and 40% barium carbonate produces a deeper case at moderate carburizing temperatures than illuminating gas or a selected mixture of organic carburizing agents. The same work gives data on other

## THE HISTORY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The following is a list of the names of the persons who have been elected to the office of President of the United States.

1789-1796 George Washington

1796-1800 John Adams

1800-1804 Thomas Jefferson

1804-1808 James Madison

1808-1812 James Monroe

1812-1816 James Monroe

1816-1820 James Monroe

1820-1824 James Monroe

1824-1828 James Monroe

1828-1832 James Monroe

1832-1836 James Monroe

1836-1840 James Monroe

1840-1844 James Monroe

1844-1848 James Monroe

1848-1852 James Monroe

1852-1856 James Monroe

1856-1860 James Monroe

1860-1864 James Monroe

1864-1868 James Monroe

1868-1872 James Monroe

## THE HISTORY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The following is a list of the names of the persons who have been elected to the office of President of the United States.

1872-1876 James Monroe

1876-1880 James Monroe

1880-1884 James Monroe



carburizing agents which have even lower carburizing rates. For long carburizing times and temperatures above  $950^{\circ}\text{C}$ . the differences in the rates of carburization obtained under these different conditions become much less /6/, as the carbon concentration at the interface approaches the limit of solubility.

Bramley //26//27//28//29// reports that rate of carburization is greater with either pyridine, methyl cyanide, or ammonia added to CO than when CO is used alone. In each case increasing the rate of supply of the gas increased the rate of carburization. Temperatures from  $800$  to  $1000^{\circ}\text{C}$ ., times from 5 to 40 hours, and rates of supply of gas from 7 to 30 liters per hour per sample, were used.

Comparison of this work of Bramley with that of Houdremont and Schrader /13/, shows that Charcoal 60% barium carbonate 40% gives as rapid carburization as pure CO if the rate of supply of CO is low, about 15 liters per hour for the conditions existing in Bramley's experiments.

Recent industrial experience /12/ demonstrates that under favorable conditions a combination of CO and hydrocarbon gas produces a higher rate of carburization than CO alone.

It is known that the rate of carburization using charcoal alone in the pack-carburizing process is relatively low.

On the basis of the above information we may conclude that the rate of carburization as measured by the depth of case is approximately proportional to the pressure of CO present. Langenberger /17/ determined this relation experimentally. However, if other carburizing gases are present as well as CO a rate of carburization higher than that obtained with CO alone is observed under some conditions.

It seems most reasonable to postulate that if more than one

...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...



...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...



carburizing gas is present the reactions in the gaseous state proceed more rapidly, so that more carbon can combine with the iron in a given time than would otherwise be the case.

Consider the reactions which take place in carburizing with carbon and an energizer /21/:



If some agent more active than carbon in reducing  $\text{CO}_2$  to  $\text{CO}$  were present, it is reasonable to suppose that carburization would proceed at a more rapid rate.

The carbon content of the surface of the steel cannot be measured very accurately, and figures usually given are the carbon content of a very thin layer removed from the surface. The actual carbon content of the surface approaches the limit of solubility of the steel at the carburizing temperature, and depends on the relative rates of solution of carbon and of diffusion of carbon. If the rate of solution of carbon is relatively low, the carbon content of the surface will likewise be low. For high temperatures and long carburizing times, changing the carburizing medium has relatively little effect on the carbon concentration at the surface and on case depth. /6/

#### THE CONSTITUTION AND STRUCTURE OF THE STEEL AND ITS EFFECT ON RATE OF CARBURIZATION.

The rate of carburization may be expected to vary with the alloy content of the steel. The limit of solubility of carbon in a steel at a given temperature will vary with the alloy content. Furthermore, it is to be expected that rates of diffusion in different alloys will vary. Houdrement and Schrader /13/ have determined the variation in rate of carburization when up to 6% of an alloying element has been

...the ... ..

[illegible]

It was about noon today that I saw the first of the  
crows. It was very dark at night but I could see them  
very well.

The second volume of the history of the royal family is devoted to the reign of Louis XIV. It is a very interesting and important work, and it is one of the best of its kind. It is written in a very clear and concise style, and it is full of interesting details. It is a very valuable work, and it is one of the best of its kind.

[illegible]



added to steel. A number of common alloying elements were so added, and in most cases the rate of carburization was thereby decreased. Houdremont /6/ has determined that the carbon content within 0.05 m.m. of the surface of several steels when carburized under similar conditions varied as follows: Nickel-chromium steel highest, nickel steel next, carbon steel lowest.

The thickness of the case is dependent on the rate of diffusion of carbon in the metal.

Diffusion of metals in the solid state obeys Fick's law: /22/:

$$D_m = D A \frac{(dc)}{(dx)} dt \quad (3)$$

Where a mass  $dm$  of a substance diffuses across an area  $A$  in time  $dt$ .

$dc/dx$  is the concentration gradient of the diffusing substance, where  $c$  is concentration, and  $x$  is distance from the interface of the solute metal.

$D$  is the diffusion coefficient, which has been calculated by the Dushman-Langmuir equation as:

$$\log_e D = \log_e \frac{QS^2}{Nh} - \frac{Q}{RT} \quad (4)$$

Where  $Q$  is heat of diffusion or solution.

$N$  is Avogadro's number

$h$  is Planck's constant

$R$  is the gas constant

$T$  is the absolute temperature

$$e = 2.718 +$$

$S$  is the interatomic distance.

It has been observed that  $D$  varies to some extent as concentration of solute ( $c$ ) varies, and this is not indicated by equation (4).





From equation (4) it is apparent that the diffusion coefficient is much larger at higher temperatures, and also that its value depends on the properties of the solute material (in the steel). Equation (4) does not indicate the effect which the presence of one or more alloying elements would have on the diffusion coefficient of carbon in iron.

From consideration of equations (3) and (4) it is observed that rate of carburization, as measured by mass of carbon diffused into the metal, is greater if the carbon gradient ( $dc/dx$ ), the diffusion coefficient ( $D$ ), or the absolute temperature ( $T$ ), is greater.

Furthermore, a greater carbon concentration at the interface will produce a greater depth of case; a large diffusion coefficient will produce a greater depth of case, other conditions being equal.

Mehl /22/ has presented a review of the subject of diffusion in solid metals. It may be said that the theory thus presented has great potential value for analysis of carburization processes. Unfortunately most of the research in carburization yields too little information to permit computation of diffusion coefficients, and thus fails to contribute to knowledge of diffusion. In particular, evaluation of the quantity " $\frac{dm}{dt}$ " equation (3) would be most useful. The total mass of carbon diffused (the area under the depth-concentration curve) gives a means of estimating relative magnitude of mass " $m$ ". (equation 3).

Additional data is needed if the true value of " $\frac{dm}{dt}$ " is to be computed.

No information is available on the effect of the structure of a given alloy on the rate of carburization which may be attained. At the carburizing temperature the steel is austenitic and the effects of previous treatment are not apparent in the structure. However,

[illegible][illegible]

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE AT THE OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR, FBI, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535.



there are properties of the steel associated with the McQuaid-Ehn grain size /2//3//20//23/ which are retained after heating and cooling steel through the austenitic range. In spite of the work of Grossman /2//3/ and others the true cause of the inherent grain size of the steel is not definitely known. It is at least possible that the previous treatment of the steel may have some effect on its rate of carburization.

#### PROPERTIES OF THE MATERIAL UNDER TEST

Two steels of an alloy content approximating that of Krupp armor steels, and two steels of somewhat lower alloy content were selected for test. Analyses of these steels are given in Table I. They were selected from several regular lots offered by commercial concerns, the idea being to conduct the tests on samples representative of good commercial practice.

These steels are characterized by very high strength, good ductility, toughness, and resistance to shock; and are sluggish in response to heat treatment, becoming pearlitic only when annealed slowly. Steels of Krupp analysis are known to be susceptible to temper brittleness under some conditions of heat treatment.

Steel SAE No. 1020 is a plain carbon steel included in the investigation as a control.

The material was furnished by the respective manufacturers in rods approximately 1" in diameter. Steels A and B being hot rolled and steels C, D and E being hot forged. The samples were examined for elongated grains which would indicate too low finishing temperature. None were found.

Table III gives the data on critical points of these steels,

THESE ARE THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION OF THE  
CASE OF THE DEATH OF THE LATE MR. JAMES W. HARRIS  
AND THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION OF THE  
CASE OF THE DEATH OF THE LATE MR. JAMES W. HARRIS  
AND THE RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION OF THE  
CASE OF THE DEATH OF THE LATE MR. JAMES W. HARRIS

The study of the effect of the concentration of the solution on the rate of the reaction was carried out at 25°C. The rate of the reaction was measured by the change in the optical density of the solution at 440 mμ. The results are shown in Table I. The rate of the reaction increases with increasing concentration of the solution. The rate of the reaction is also affected by the nature of the solvent. The rate of the reaction is highest in water and lowest in alcohol.



the values given in the literature /21/ for the nominal composition being corrected for variations from this composition by use of factors determined by Reed /18/. In no case did the value of this correction exceed 30F. The values of carbon content of the eutectoid are also based on work of Reed./18/.

This work of Reed, while not very accurate, is the best that is available for the purpose.

### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

In order to determine the effect of initial structure of the sample on rate of diffusion, samples of each steel were heated to various temperatures, for various times, as indicated in Table II.

Various means were used to protect the specimens from oxidation in the cases of Steels A and B, Steels C and D, and E, were treated in a chromium steel container in a nitrogen atmosphere. A Westinghouse Globar furnace was used, with automatic temperature control, and a separate thermocouple was used to check temperatures as close to the specimens as possible.

Each specimen was centered in a lathe, and turned accurately to a cylinder. In so doing, a layer of metal from 1/16" to 1/8" thick was cut off from the surface, thus disposing of material which might have undergone decarburizing during <sup>heat treatment.</sup> A feed of 0.005" per revolution was used on the final cut to give uniform surface

The specimens were then carburized in batches as indicated in Table II, in a mixture of 60% powdered charcoal by weight, and 40% powdered barium carbonate. It should be noted at the temperatures used the samples were initially above the upper critical point (Ac3) and hence austenitic. The original grains were recrystallized.





Every sample was carburized 12 hours at the temperature indicated in Table II; this time does not include time to raise the specimen to temperature or cool it. The cooling rates varied in a regular manner from 2°F. per minute shortly after the current was turned off to 1°F. per minute at about 300°F.; and from 300°F. to room temperature cooling, Rates were below 1°F. per minute.

After cleaning, the specimens were returned to the lathe and successive layers of metal 0.0070" to 0.0150" thick were removed and the turnings analyzed for carbon content by the combustion method. Careful records were kept of the diameters of the specimens after each operation in order that the location of each sample of metal analyzed might be used in plotting curves of carbon concentration against depth. A sufficient length was left on each specimen so that a sample 1" from the end of the specimen could be removed for microscopic examination. Photomicrographs which appear to be of interest are included in this report.

Conclusions in regard to rates of carburization were based on examination of depth-carbon concentration curves. This technique gives more accurate measure of depth of case than visual examination of microstructures and also reveals the distribution of carbon within the case.

The relative mass of carbon diffused, as given by the tables, may be converted to lb. of carbon per square inch of area per hour by multiplying by  $5.9 \times 10^{-13}$ .

In making up the tables, the area under the depth-carbon concentration curve was measured down to the 0.25% carbon ordinate in the case of Steel A and down to the 0.40% carbon ordinate in the case of Steels B, C, D, and E. These values correspond to the approximate carbon contents of the original samples. The purpose of

[illegible]



this procedure was to omit from the calculations the original carbon contents, and base conclusions on the mass of carbon added in each case.

Furthermore, errors due to minor variations in initial carbon content are thus reduced to negligible proportions. <sup>The</sup> ~~Steel~~ initial diffusion rate is higher due to higher carbon gradient. (Equation 3.) The error due to low initial carbon content of steel A is "on the side of safety". No matter what its numerical value, it can not affect the verity of the conclusion to be stated.



## RESULTS

### Effect of initial structure on rate of carburization.

Table II and figure 11 show that rate of carburization in Steel C is practically independent of initial grain size as produced by annealing. Steel C has a slightly lower alloy content than the usual Krupp analysis of armor steel. Rate of carburization is very slightly increased by long heating just below the critical range, so that the sample is partly spheroidized. (Specimen C 4).

The rate of carburization of Steel D is increased to a marked degree by increasing initial grain size by annealing, as may be noted by reference to Table II and figures 11 and 14. The rate of carburization is also increased by spheroidizing treatment. (Specimen D 4).

The rate of carburization of Steel E is practically independent of initial heat treatment. (Table II figure 11).

The rate of carburization of Steel A, which is a plain carbon steel, is increased somewhat by annealing to increase grain size (Table II and figures 12 and 15). A somewhat greater increase in rate of carburization was obtained by a quenching treatment. (Specimens A 5 and A 6).

In Steel B, which has an alloy content slightly greater than the usual Krupp analysis for armor steel, the effect of initial structure was in part obscured by the variety of heat treatments undertaken. A coarse-grained structure obtained by air cooling (martensitic) permitted a lower rate of carburization than a structure obtained by annealing. (Table II and figures 12 and 16).

The average mass of carbon diffused is computed in each case where a number of samples had received identical treatment, and the



# RESULTS

Effect of temperature on rate of sorption.

Table I and Figure 1 show the effect of temperature on the

rate of sorption. The rate of sorption is also shown by an

example. When the rate of sorption is plotted against time the curve

is sigmoidal. The rate of sorption is also shown by an

example. When the rate of sorption is plotted against time the curve

is sigmoidal. The rate of sorption is also shown by an

example. When the rate of sorption is plotted against time the curve

is sigmoidal. The rate of sorption is also shown by an

example. When the rate of sorption is plotted against time the curve

is sigmoidal. The rate of sorption is also shown by an

example.

The rate of sorption of water is also shown by an

example. When the rate of sorption is plotted against time the curve

is sigmoidal. The rate of sorption is also shown by an

example. When the rate of sorption is plotted against time the curve

is sigmoidal. The rate of sorption is also shown by an

example. When the rate of sorption is plotted against time the curve

is sigmoidal.

The rate of sorption of water is also shown by an

example. When the rate of sorption is plotted against time the curve

is sigmoidal. The rate of sorption is also shown by an

example. When the rate of sorption is plotted against time the curve

is sigmoidal. The rate of sorption is also shown by an

example. When the rate of sorption is plotted against time the curve

is sigmoidal. The rate of sorption is also shown by an

example. When the rate of sorption is plotted against time the curve

results tabulated in the last four lines of Table IV.

Effect of alloy content on rate of carburization. (Figures 11 and 12).

In analyzing the effect of alloy content on rate of carburization, it is necessary to make allowance for the effect of initial grain size. If the results for all samples of each steel are considered, as averaged in Table IV, Steel A has the lowest rate of carburization, C and E carburize at the same rate, and Steel D has the highest rate. Steel B was carburized at a lower temperature, and the rate of carburization was low. No definite conclusion can be drawn by comparing this rate with rates of carburization of the other steels. If the carburizing rates of the steels in the fine-grained condition are compared, (Table II), it will be observed that Steel D carburizes at the same rate as steels C and E, Steel A still showing the lowest rate of carburization.

Carbon, chromium, molybdenum, and to a less degree manganese, may be lost from the surface of the specimen in heat treating.

Examinations of the microstructure indicated that the layer of metal removed after heat treating was in each case sufficient to assure that the sample as carburized was homogeneous and the original alloy content unaltered, except in samples A4, B3, B4 and B5. As the layer removed from Sample E1 was comparatively thin, a chromium analysis was made of the outer layer removed after carburization, in addition to the carbon analysis. Chromium content was 0.71% as compared with an original chromium content of 0.75%. From this it was concluded that the original alloy content was not changed sufficiently to affect the results. Specimen A4 was almost completely



... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

... ..

decarburized before carburizing. (Table II). The rate of carburization was 20% less than in a sample which had received a similar heat treatment and which had been protected from decarburization. This result is consistent with the findings of Bramley /26/.

#### Notes on microstructure.

The grain size of both the case and the core of Steels A and D after carburizing was influenced by the initial grain size, initially coarse-grained samples showing larger grains after carburizing in both the case and the core. (Figures 3, 4, 7). These steels were carburized less than 200° F. above the upper critical (Ac3) point. The final grain size of Steels C and E carburized more than 200° F. above the Ac3 point was independent of the initial grain size.

The depth of carburization as determined by microscopic examination of the etched specimens at 10x and 100x was consistent with the determinations based on carbon analysis in the cases of Steels A and B, and was inaccurate in the cases of Steels C and D. The observed depth of case is sensitive to minor changes in technique in etching with 4% nitric acid in alcohol.

The determination of grain size by comparing with standard grain size charts and converting grain size number to linear dimension of the grain by computation was found the most satisfactory method, in view of the variation in the microstructures of the different steels. In the spheroidized steels, for example, it would be difficult to devise a grain count method that would describe the appearance of the steel.



15  
The results of the investigation are as follows: (1) The rate of absorption of the gas is directly proportional to the surface area of the gas-liquid interface. (2) The rate of absorption is directly proportional to the difference in partial pressures of the gas on the two sides of the interface. (3) The rate of absorption is inversely proportional to the square root of the molecular weight of the gas.

The results of the investigation are as follows: (1) The rate of absorption of the gas is directly proportional to the surface area of the gas-liquid interface. (2) The rate of absorption is directly proportional to the difference in partial pressures of the gas on the two sides of the interface. (3) The rate of absorption is inversely proportional to the square root of the molecular weight of the gas.

The results of the investigation are as follows: (1) The rate of absorption of the gas is directly proportional to the surface area of the gas-liquid interface. (2) The rate of absorption is directly proportional to the difference in partial pressures of the gas on the two sides of the interface. (3) The rate of absorption is inversely proportional to the square root of the molecular weight of the gas.

The results of the investigation are as follows: (1) The rate of absorption of the gas is directly proportional to the surface area of the gas-liquid interface. (2) The rate of absorption is directly proportional to the difference in partial pressures of the gas on the two sides of the interface. (3) The rate of absorption is inversely proportional to the square root of the molecular weight of the gas.



Samples of Steels C and D before carburizing were examined under a microscope 2000x. In the fine-grained samples of Steel D the grain boundary constituents (etched dark with the nital) seemed to form networks about the light-colored grains; whereas in the other samples examined the grain boundary constituents were more discontinuous. In spheroidized samples, of course, nothing resembling grain boundary networks were observed.

[illegible]

## DISCUSSION

Effect of initial structure of steel on rate of carburization

In this work no exceptions were found to the statement that initial grain size affected the final grain size and rate of diffusion of carbon, when the carburizing temperature was less than 200°F. above the upper critical point (Ac3).

Nothing has been said of the austenitic grain size of the steels which were carburized. It is not believed that variations in austenitic grain size or discontinuities in the lattice structure of the metal affect the rate of carburization. Mehl, in commenting on the work of Mooradian and Norton /7/, observed that the diffusion in some binary metallic systems occurs at a rate apparently independent of the presence of grain boundaries, and recently referred to the fact that this applies as well to the iron-carbon system.<sup>122</sup> It should be said that the experimental evidence rests on a limited number of observations, and there is at least one exception; thorium diffuses in tungsten much more rapidly along the grain boundaries than through the grains /8/.

However, Eostein and Rawdon /14/ observed that abnormal steels carburized at a lower rate than normal steels, the latter of course having a much larger initial grain size. Apparently they did not investigate the effect on rate of carburization of varying the grain size of one lot of steel. Rowland and Upthegrove/5/ recently determined that large initial grain size of steel accelerated the loss of carbon through surface decarburization.

An explanation of the variations in rates of diffusion described above, may be stated as follows:





Rate of diffusion of carbon in the austenitic grains of the alloy is more rapid than the rate of diffusion in the material at the grain boundaries. These "grain boundary constituents" are so stable that they remain effective as barriers to the diffusion of carbon about 200°F., above the critical point (Ac3).

Of course, if the material is spheroidized, it is reasonable to expect that the "barriers" will be broken up and the resistance to diffusion diminished. A statement of the composition of these "grain boundary constituents" is not absolutely necessary.

McQuaid states /23/ that inherent grain size (as evidenced by the McQuaid-Ehn grain size for instance) depends on the presence of compounds of aluminum, vanadium, etc., at grain boundaries /4/. Davey /9/ believed that carbides, nitrides, and oxides segregated in the dendritic structure of steel impede the diffusion of carbon. It will no doubt occur to the reader that the same constituents (or properties) which influence the McQuaid-Ehn grain size may possibly affect the rate of diffusion of carbon. However, there is only indirect evidence at best to support conclusions in regard to the effect of non-metallic inclusions on the structure of steels.

#### EFFECT OF VARYING ALLOY CONTENT OF STEEL ON RATE OF CARBURIZATION

The work of Houdremont and Schrader /13/ has been referred to. As a result of this work they listed certain elements which when added to steel in amounts up to 6% accelerated diffusion; others which retarded diffusion; and still others which had little effect on diffusion. The effect of each alloying element on final grain size was also recorded. The writer plotted the case depths against final grain sizes as obtained by Houdremont and Schrader, and observed



State of affairs of affairs in the domestic system of the  
 after the war would have been of affairs in the system of  
 the world economy. The world economy, however, is not  
 a static system, but a dynamic system, and the system of  
 affairs is not static, but dynamic.

Of course, if the system is dynamic, it is possible to  
 expect that the system will be subject to all the changes in  
 the system of affairs. A system of affairs is a system of  
 affairs, and a system of affairs is a system of affairs.

However, since the system is dynamic, it is possible to  
 the system of affairs for the system of affairs in the system of  
 affairs of affairs, and the system of affairs is a system of  
 affairs.

But the system of affairs is not static, and the system of  
 affairs is not static, and the system of affairs is not static.  
 It will no doubt occur to the reader that the system of  
 affairs is not static, and the system of affairs is not static.  
 The system of affairs is not static, and the system of affairs  
 is not static, and the system of affairs is not static.  
 The system of affairs is not static, and the system of affairs  
 is not static, and the system of affairs is not static.

## THE SYSTEM OF AFFAIRS IN THE SYSTEM OF AFFAIRS

The system of affairs in the system of affairs is a system of  
 affairs, and the system of affairs is a system of affairs.  
 The system of affairs is a system of affairs, and the system of  
 affairs is a system of affairs, and the system of affairs is a  
 system of affairs, and the system of affairs is a system of  
 affairs, and the system of affairs is a system of affairs.  
 The system of affairs is a system of affairs, and the system of  
 affairs is a system of affairs, and the system of affairs is a  
 system of affairs, and the system of affairs is a system of  
 affairs, and the system of affairs is a system of affairs.

that rate of diffusion increased in a fairly regular manner as the grain size of the carburized specimens increased. In most cases it did not seem to matter greatly which alloying element was present. Aluminum and chromium were conspicuous exceptions. Each one decreased the rate of diffusion and increased the grain size. The information available was insufficient to attempt a correlation of rate of diffusion with initial grain size.

In the opinion of the writer, a more complete understanding of the effect of alloying additions on rate of diffusion would result if these additions were studied from the point of view of their effect on the grain size of the steel.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The writer desires to express his appreciation of the cooperation of the Allegheny Steel Company, the Carnegie-Illinois Steel Corporation, the Inspector of Naval Material at Munhall, Penna., the Republic Steel Corporation, and the Midvale Company, in furnishing samples required for test, and performing chemical analyses; and of the personnel of the Metals Research Laboratory and Department of Metallurgy, Carnegie Institute of Technology, for valuable advice and suggestions.




that part of the building destroyed is a brick building which is the  
 main part of the building destroyed. It was built in  
 1880 and was destroyed by fire. The building was  
 destroyed by fire. The building was destroyed by fire.  
 The building was destroyed by fire. The building was  
 destroyed by fire. The building was destroyed by fire.

It was found that the building was destroyed by fire.  
 The building was destroyed by fire. The building was  
 destroyed by fire. The building was destroyed by fire.  
 The building was destroyed by fire. The building was  
 destroyed by fire. The building was destroyed by fire.

### APPENDIX

The building was destroyed by fire. The building was  
 destroyed by fire. The building was destroyed by fire.  
 The building was destroyed by fire. The building was  
 destroyed by fire. The building was destroyed by fire.  
 The building was destroyed by fire. The building was  
 destroyed by fire. The building was destroyed by fire.

The building was destroyed by fire. The building was  
 destroyed by fire. The building was destroyed by fire.  
 The building was destroyed by fire. The building was  
 destroyed by fire. The building was destroyed by fire.  
 The building was destroyed by fire. The building was  
 destroyed by fire. The building was destroyed by fire.



a  
Annealed from 1600  
Steel C

b  
Annealed from 1850

c  
Annealed from 2100F

Figure 1..


Magnification 100 X



2004-2005 0-100000

... [illegible] ...





a  
At 1600 At 1600

b  
At 1850

c  
At 2100° F.

Annealed prior to carburizing at temperatures indicated. Carburized at 1615° F. for 12 hours. Steel C.


Figure 2.  
Magnification 100X





THE  
LIBRARY OF THE  
MUSEUM OF NATURAL HISTORY  
AT LONDON  
1850  
1851  
1852  
1853  
1854  
1855  
1856  
1857  
1858  
1859  
1860  
1861  
1862  
1863  
1864  
1865  
1866  
1867  
1868  
1869  
1870  
1871  
1872  
1873  
1874  
1875  
1876  
1877  
1878  
1879  
1880  
1881  
1882  
1883  
1884  
1885  
1886  
1887  
1888  
1889  
1890  
1891  
1892  
1893  
1894  
1895  
1896  
1897  
1898  
1899  
1900  
1901  
1902  
1903  
1904  
1905  
1906  
1907  
1908  
1909  
1910  
1911  
1912  
1913  
1914  
1915  
1916  
1917  
1918  
1919  
1920  
1921  
1922  
1923  
1924  
1925  
1926  
1927  
1928  
1929  
1930  
1931  
1932  
1933  
1934  
1935  
1936  
1937  
1938  
1939  
1940  
1941  
1942  
1943  
1944  
1945  
1946  
1947  
1948  
1949  
1950  
1951  
1952  
1953  
1954  
1955  
1956  
1957  
1958  
1959  
1960  
1961  
1962  
1963  
1964  
1965  
1966  
1967  
1968  
1969  
1970  
1971  
1972  
1973  
1974  
1975  
1976  
1977  
1978  
1979  
1980  
1981  
1982  
1983  
1984  
1985  
1986  
1987  
1988  
1989  
1990  
1991  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1995  
1996  
1997  
1998  
1999  
2000  
2001  
2002  
2003  
2004  
2005  
2006  
2007  
2008  
2009  
2010  
2011  
2012  
2013  
2014  
2015  
2016  
2017  
2018  
2019  
2020  
2021  
2022  
2023  
2024  
2025





<sup>a</sup>  
 Annealed from 1600  
 Steel D

<sup>b</sup>  
 Annealed from 1850

<sup>c</sup>  
 Annealed from 2100° F.

Figure 3. .


Magnification 100 X



Unreel 1700 1000 Unreel 1700 1000  
Unreel 1700 1000 Unreel 1700 1000  
Unreel 1700 1000 Unreel 1700 1000

Unreel 1700 1000





<sup>a</sup> Annealed from 1600    <sup>b</sup> Annealed from 1850    <sup>c</sup> Annealed from 2100° F.  
 Heat treatment prior to carburizing as indicated. Carburized at 1615° F.  
 Steel D.

Figure 4..


Magnification 100 X






THESE PAGES ARE TO BE KEPT IN THE  
FILE OF THE CASE AND NOT  
RETURNED TO THE PARTY  
WHICH THEY BELONG TO.

RECEIVED BY THE  
COURT



C 4



D 4

Figure 5..

Magnification 100 X




10

11

12

13





a

b

Steel C

Steel D

Annealed prior to carburizing at 1300 F. for 1615 hrs  
 1615 for 12 hrs *for Carburized at*

Figure  
 Magnification 100X



6


Sheet 10

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS  
54 EAST 57TH STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10022  
1969

1969

1969





a  
Annealed from 1800 F

b  
Annealed from 2100 F

c  
Annealed from 2100 F  
Heavily decarburized in  
heat treating.

Treatment prior to carburizing as indicated. Carburized at 1635 F for 12 hours. Steel A.

Figure 7.

Magnification 100 X






11

11

11

11



a  
B 1

(Poo)

b  
B 2

(B4 or 6 )

c  
B 3

B 12

Figure 8

Magnification 100 X



u  
B I  
(300)


1  
B I  
(300)

c  
B I  
(300)


8 7 17

X 001 301/171





a  
B4  
(77)



b  
E1

Figure 9

Magnification 100 X

Li  
2

100

27 in

2017年11月17日



Steel A  
Annealed at 2100° F.  
Figure 10





Steel &  
enclosed at 2100 P.  
Figure 10




FIGURE II

COMPARISON OF MASS OF CARBON  
 DIFFUSED FOR VARIOUS SAMPLES  
 STEEL C, D, AND G








FIGURE 12

COMPARISON OF MASS OF CARBON  
DIFFUSED FOR VARIOUS SAMPLES  
STEEL A AND B






FIGURE 13




FIGURE 14




FIGURE 15




FIGURE 16

CARBON PENETRATION CURVES  
CARBON CONCENTRATION PLOTTED AGAINST DEPTH





Table I.

Compositions of steels under test.						
Sample no.	SAE no.	Carbon %	Manganese %	Nickel %	Chromium %	Molybdenum %
A	1020	0.24	0.56		-	-
B	3335	0.34	0.33	3.79	1.72	-
C	3335	0.355	0.48	3.41	1.45	-
D	4335	0.37	0.73	1.75	0.73	0.37
E	3435	0.415	0.47	2.95	0.76	-

T of the



Table II.

Histories of individual samples.				Relative mass of carbon diffused during carb.	
Sample no.	Heat to of	Preliminary treatment	Manner of cooling	Grain size before carburizing mm.	No. 8
A1	1800	3	box cooled	0.02	8
A2	1800	3	"	0.03	7
A3	2100	3	"	0.17	2
A4	2100	20	" (oxidized)	0.17	2
A5	1800	2	water quenched	-	-
A6	2100	2	"	-	-
				(estimated by appearance)	
				24.	24.2
					34.4
					27.2
					36.6
					35
B1	as received,	drawn at 1200 $\frac{1}{2}$ hr.			
B2	1630	2	cooled in sand	0.01	8
B3	2450	10 and		0.01	8
B4	2150	1	box cooled	0.3	1
B5	2450	10 and			
B6	1850	2	box cooled	0.4	1
	2500	1	box cooled	0.6	1
	2100	1	air cooled	0.2	2
				(blued spot after carb.)	
C1	1600	2	furnace cooled	0.02	8
C2	1850	2	"	0.05	6
C3	2100	2	"	0.28	1
C4	1300	22	"	0.02	8
D1	1600	2	"	0.05	6
D2	1850	2	"	0.09	4
D3	2100	2	"	0.28	1
D4	1300	22	"	0.03	7
E1	1600	2	"	0.06	5
E2	1850	2	"	0.09	4
E3	2100	2	"	0.4	1
E4	1300	22	"	0.03	7
				(blued spot after carb.)	
				52.0	52.0
				57.9	57.9
				49.5	49.5
				51.3	51.3

to name estimator

400104 on ip clary

1911

10

10

50

3

50

4

卷二

98

50

81

0.000

Table III.

Data on steels under test			Carbon percentage of Futectoid %	Carburizing Temp. of	Time hrs.	Difference between Ac3 and carb. temperature	Effect of initial grain size
Steel no.	Critical Ac3	points Ac1					
A	1575	1337	0.82	1635	12	60	yes
B	1350	1345	0.47	1465	"	115	yes
C	1350	1345	0.50	1615	"	265	none
D	1450	1360	0.61	1615	"	165	yes
E	1360	1320	0.60	1615	"	255	none

Table IV

Averaged results of carburizing tests.			Remarks.
Samples	Relative mass of carbon diffused	#	
A	(all)	30.6	Similar composition Steels C,D,E - carburized at one time
B	"	16.8	
C	"	53.0	
D	"	63.0	
E	"	52.7	
C1 D1 E1		53.9	Similar treatment
C2 D2 E2		61.6	
C3 D3 E3		73.2	
C4 D4 E4		61.7	

# To convert relative mass of carbon to absolute mass in pound per hour per square inch of exposed surface, multiply by 5.9x.513



10-11-1978

1910-1911

1870

© 1999 by the American Psychological Association  
0893-3200/99/\$12.00 DOI: 10.1037/0893-3200.13.4.535

Don't believe it's all  
 what I said. No more  
 for you

1000  
1000  
1000  
1000  
1000

111  
112  
113  
114  
115

40075-24

with me for breakfast - 10.00 a.m.

with the design of the

Effect of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  on the rate of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum

10

臨西

[illegible]

I. BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. On rates of reactions in solid steel by E. C. Bain.  
Transactions of the  
American ~~Institute~~ of Mining and Metallurgical Engineers,  
Vol. 13, page 100, year 1932.
2. Grain Size and Grain Growth by M. A. Grossman  
Transactions of the American Society for  
Steel Treating,  
Vol. 21, page 1079, year 1933.
3. Grain Growth in Metals by M. A. Grossman  
Transactions of the American Society for  
Metals,  
Vol. 22, page 861, year 1922.
4. Grain size and Hardenability and Normality of Steels  
Transactions of the American Society by E.S. Davenport,  
for Metals, and E.C. Bain.  
Vol. 22, page 879, 1922.
5. Grain Size and Its Influence on Surface Decarbur-  
ization of Steel by P.H. Rowland,  
Transactions of the American Society and C. Unthegrove.  
for Metals,  
Vol. 24, page 96, 1936.
6. ~~Sounder~~ Stahlkunde, 1935, pages 68-75, E. Houdremont
7. Influence of Lattice Distortion on Diffusion in  
Metals, by V.G. Mooredian  
Transactions of the American ~~Institute~~ and J. T. Norton  
of Mining and Metallurgical Engineers,  
Vol. 117, page 89, 1935.
8. Thoriated Tungsten Filaments by I. Langmuir  
J. Franklin Institute, Vol. 217, page 543, 1934.
9. The Mechanism of Crystal Growth by W. P. Davey  
Transactions of the American Society for  
Steel Treating,  
Vol. 21, page 965, 1933.
10. Cementation Des Produits Metallurgiques,  
L. Guillet, p.1-29, 53-65.
11. The Role of Energizers in Carburizing Compounds by G. M. Enos,  
Transactions of the American Society for Steel  
Treating,  
Vol. 20, page 27, 1932.
12. Continuous gas Carburizing in Practice. by E. A. Thomas  
Metals and Alloys, Vol. 3, page 84, 1932.





13. Effect of Alloying Elements Upon Behavior of Steels in Case-hardening.  
(Einfluss DER Legierungselemente Auf Das Verhalten Von Stählen Bei Der Einsatzhärtung) by Von E. Houdremont and H. Schrader,  
Arch. Eisenhüttenw. Vol. 8, page 445, 1935.
14. Steel For Case-Hardening - Normal and Abnormal Steel, by S. Eustein and H. S. Rawdon  
Bureau Standards Journal of Research,  
Vol. 1, page 423, 1928.
15. The Effects of McQuaid-Ehn Grain Size on the Structure and Properties of Steel by P. Shand, Jr.  
Transactions of the American Society for Metals,  
Vol. 22, page 1038, 1932.
16. Heat treatment in Controlled Atmospheres Including Gaseous Carburizing, by C. R. Austin,  
Transactions of the American Society of Metals,  
Vol. 23, pages 168-170, 1935.
17. The Metallography and Heat Treatment of Iron and Steel, by A. Sauveur,  
Fourth Edition, pp. 312-317.
18. Influence of special Elements on the Content of the Iron Carbon Eutectoid, by E. L. Reed,  
Transactions of the American Society for Steel Treating,  
Vol. 20, page 115, 1932.
19. Submicroscopic Inclusions in Steel, by C. H. Herty  
Metal Progress Vol. 20-4, p. 37, or  
Transactions of the American Society for Steel Treating,  
Vol. 19, page 1, 1931.
20. Abnormality in Case Carburized Steels, by Herty, Larson,  
Mining & Metallurgical Cooperative Krivobok, and co-workers.  
Bulletin No. 45, 1929.
21. National Metals Handbook, 1933  
American Society for Metals, pp. 350, 650, 907, 920.
22. Diffusion in Solid Metals, by R. F. Mehl,  
Annual Lecture before American Institute of Mining and Metallurgical Engineers' meeting in New York in February 1936, and to be published by the Institute of Metals Division of that Society.
23. The Effect of McQuaid-Ehn Grain Size on Hardness and Toughness of Automotive Steels, by H. W. McQuaid,  
Transactions of the American Society for Metals,  
Vol. 22, page 1017, 1934.

- [illegible]



24. Gas Carburizing - a Discussion of Shop Practices, by H.W. McQuaid  
Metal Progress (July 1934) p. 22.
25. Versuche Ueber Die Diffusion von Kohlenstoff, Silizium,  
und Mangan in Festem und Flussigem Eisen, by Von M.  
Arch. Eisenhutenw. Paschke, and A.  
Vol. 9, pp. 305-6, 1935. Hauttmann,
26. The Gaseous Cementation of Iron and Steel, Part 1,  
Carnegie Scholarship Memoirs, by A. Bramley and  
Vol. 15, page 17, 1926. A. J. Jinkings,
27. The Gaseous Cementation of Iron & Steel, Part 2,  
Carnegie Scholarship Memoirs, by A. Bramley and  
Vol. 15, page 71, 1926. G. H. Beeby,
28. The Gaseous Cementation of Iron & Steel, Pt. IV, by A. Bramley and  
Carnegie Scholarship Memoirs, G. Turner,  
Vol. 17, page 23, 1928.
29. The Gaseous Cementation of Iron & Steel, Part VI,  
Carnegie Scholarship Memoirs, by A. Bramley and  
Vol. 18, page 1, 1929. H. D. Lord,



- [illegible]











AUG 31

BINDERY

Thesis 6301  
W55 Whipple

AUTHOR

TITLE The carburization  
of steels of "Krupp"  
analysis.

DATE LOANED	BORROWER'S NAME	DATE RETURNED
		1
		of
		ial.

Thesis 6301  
W55 Whipple

The carburization of  
steels of "Krupp" anal-  
ysis.


Library  
U. S. Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, California





thesW55

The carburization of steels of Krupp a



3 2768 001 95050 4

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY